Mid Sussex District Council

Year ending 31 March 2015

Audit Plan

March 2015







Ernst & Young LLP Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading RG1 1YE Tel: +44 118 928 1100 Fax: +44 118 928 1101 ey.com



Audit Committee Mid Sussex District Council Oaklands Road Haywards Heath West Sussex RH16 1SS 17 March 2015

Dear Members of the Audit Committee

Audit Plan

We are pleased to attach our Audit Plan which sets out how we intend to carry out our responsibilities as auditor. Its purpose is to provide the Audit Committee with a basis to review our proposed audit approach and scope for the 2014/15 audit in accordance with the requirements of the Audit Commission Act 1998, the Code of Audit Practice, Standing Guidance, auditing standards and other professional requirements. It is also to ensure that our audit is aligned with the Committee's service expectations.

This plan summarises our initial assessment of the key risks driving the development of an effective audit for Mid Sussex District Council, and outlines our planned audit strategy in response to those risks.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss this plan with you on 17 March 2015 and to understand whether there are other matters which you consider may influence our audit.

Yours faithfully

Paul King Director For and behalf of Ernst & Young LLP Enc

ANNEX 1

Contents

1.	Overview	l	2
		Il Audit and Accountability Act 2014	
		statement risks	
4.	Economy	, efficiency and effectiveness	6
5.	Our audi	t process and strategy	7
6.	Independ	lence	11
Αp	pendix A	Fees	14
Ap	pendix B	UK required communications with those charged with governance	16

In March 2010 the Audit Commission issued a revised version of the 'Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies' ('Statement of responsibilities'). It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the Audit Commission's website.

The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the Audit Commission's appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The Standing Guidance serves as our terms of appointment as auditors appointed by the Audit Commission. The Standing Guidance sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the Code of Audit Practice 2010 (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Annual Plan is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Audit Committee, and is prepared for the sole use of the audited body. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure - If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.

1. Overview

Context for the audit

This Audit Plan covers the work that we plan to perform to provide you with:

- our audit opinion on whether the financial statements of Mid Sussex District Council give a true and fair view of the financial position as at 31 March 2015 and of the income and expenditure for the year then ended; and
- ▶ a statutory conclusion on the Council's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We will also review and report to the National Audit Office (NAO), to the extent and in the form required by them, on your Whole of Government Accounts return.

When planning the audit we take into account several key inputs:

- strategic, operational and financial risks relevant to the financial statements;
- developments in financial reporting and auditing standards:
- the quality of systems and processes;
- changes in the business and regulatory environment; and
- management's views on all of the above.

By considering these inputs, our audit is focused on the areas that matter and our feedback is more likely to be relevant to the Council.

Our audit will also include the mandatory procedures that we are required to perform in accordance with applicable laws and auditing standards.

In parts three and four of this plan we provide more detail on the above areas and we outline our plans to address them. Our proposed audit process and strategy are summarised below and set out in more detail in section five.

We will provide an update to the Audit Committee on the results of our work in these areas in our report to those charged with governance scheduled for delivery in September 2015.

Our process and strategy

Financial statement audit

We consider materiality in terms of the possible impact of an error or omission on the financial statements and set an overall planning materiality level. We then set a tolerable error to reduce the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds planning materiality to an appropriately low level. We also assess each disclosure and consider qualitative issues affecting materiality as well as quantitative issues.

Where possible and more efficient we will seek to rely on the controls in your systems, therefore reducing the year-end testing required.

To the fullest extent permissible by auditing standards, we will seek to rely on the work of internal audit wherever possible

Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness

Our approach to the value for money (VFM) conclusion for Mid Sussex District Council for 2014/15 is based on criteria specified by the Audit Commission relating to whether there are proper arrangements in place within the Council for:

- securing financial resilience
- ▶ challenging how the Council secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We adopt an integrated audit approach, so our work on the financial statement audit feeds into our consideration of the arrangements in place for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

Further detail is included in section 4 of this Audit Plan.

2. The Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014

The Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the 2014 Act) closes the Audit Commission and repeals the Audit Commission Act 1998.

The 2014 Act requires the Comptroller and Auditor General to prepare a Code of Audit Practice. This must be laid before Parliament and approved before 1 April 2015.

Although this new Code will apply from 1 April 2015, transitional provisions within the 2014 Act provide for the Audit Commission's 2010 Code to continue to apply to audit work in respect of the 2014/15 financial year. This plan is therefore prepared on the basis of the continued application of the 2010 Code of Audit Practice throughout the 2014/15 audit.

3. Financial statement risks

We outline below our assessment of the financial statement risks facing Mid Sussex District Council, identified through our knowledge of the Council's operations and discussion with those charged with governance and officers.

At our meeting, we will seek to validate these with you.

Significant risks (including fraud risks)

Our audit approach

Risk of management override

As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement, and include this risk in all of our audit plans. It is not specific to Mid Sussex District Council.

Our approach will focus on:

- testing the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements
- reviewing accounting estimates for evidence of management bias, and
- evaluating the business rationale for significant unusual transactions; and
- reviewing the Council's arrangements for identifying and properly disclosing significant related party transactions in its financial statements.

Respective responsibilities in relation to fraud and error

We would like to take this opportunity to remind you that management has the primary responsibility to prevent and detect fraud. It is important that management, with the oversight of those charged with governance, has a culture of ethical behaviour and a strong control environment that both deters and prevents fraud.

Our responsibility is to plan and perform audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free of material misstatements whether caused by error or fraud. As auditors, we approach each engagement with a questioning mind that accepts the possibility that a material misstatement due to fraud could occur, and design the appropriate procedures to consider such risk.

Based on the requirements of auditing standards our approach will focus on:

- identifying fraud risks during the planning stages;
- enquiry of management about risks of fraud and the controls to address those risks;
- understanding the oversight given by those charged with governance of management's processes over fraud;
- consideration of the effectiveness of management's controls designed to address the risk
 of fraud;
- determining an appropriate strategy to address any identified risks of fraud; and
- performing mandatory procedures regardless of specifically identified fraud risks.

We will consider the results of the National Fraud Initiative and may refer to it in our reporting to you.

4. Economy, efficiency and effectiveness

Our approach to the value for money (VFM) conclusion for Mid Sussex District Council for 2014/15 is based on criteria specified by the Audit Commission relating to whether there are proper arrangements in place at the Council for securing:

- 1. financial resilience, and
- 2. economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources.

The Audit Commission VFM guidance for 2014/15 requires that auditors consider and assess the significant risks of giving a wrong conclusion and carry out as much work as is appropriate to enable them to give a safe conclusion on arrangements to secure VFM.

Our assessment of what is a significant risk is a matter of professional judgement, and is based on consideration of both quantitative and qualitative aspects of the subject matter in question.

We have not identified any significant risks to the value for money (VFM) conclusion. However, we have identified the following key areas that we will consider to support our VFM conclusion.

The table below provides a high-level summary of our risk assessment and our proposed response to those risks.

Other risks	Impacts arrangements for securing:	Our audit approach
Financial standing		
The Council continues to experience funding challenges from national policies to reduce the deficit.	Economy, efficiency and effectiveness Financial resilience	We will review the Council's 2015/16 budget and medium term financial plan and consider the reasonableness of the underlying assumptions.
Council spending		
The Audit Commission produces value for money and financial ratio profiles for local authorities on an annual basis. This provides an indication of the relative spending of an individual body against a comparator group of statistical nearest neighbours which have similarities in population, expenditure, and geographical area.	Economy, efficiency and effectiveness Financial resilience	We will consider the comparative spending of the Council based on the latest available VFM profile data.

We will keep our risk assessment under review throughout our audit and communicate to the Audit Committee any revisions to the specific risks identified here and any additional local risk-based work we may need to undertake as a result.

5. Our audit process and strategy

5.1 Objective and scope of our audit

Under the Audit Commission's Code of Audit Practice ('the Code') our principal objectives are to review and report on, the Council's:

- financial statements
- arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources

to the extent required by the relevant legislation and the requirements of the Code.

We issue a two-part audit report covering both of these objectives.

i) Financial statement audit

Our objective is to form an opinion on the financial statements under International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland).

We will also review and report to the NAO on the Whole of Government Accounts return to the extent and in the form they require.

ii) Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness

The Code sets out our responsibility to satisfy ourselves that the Council has proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. In arriving at our conclusion, we will rely as far as possible on the reported results of the work of other statutory inspectorates on corporate or service performance.

In examining the Council's corporate performance management and financial management arrangements, we consider the following criteria and areas of focus specified by the Audit Commission:

- arrangements for securing financial resilience whether the Council has robust systems and processes to manage financial risks and opportunities effectively, and to secure a stable financial position that enables it to continue to operate for the foreseeable future.
- arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness whether the Council is prioritising its resources within tighter budgets, for example by achieving cost reductions and by improving efficiency and productivity.

5.2 Audit process overview

Processes

Our initial assessment of the key processes across the Council/ CCG has identified the following key processes where we will seek to test key controls, both manual and IT:

- Accounts payable
- Accounts receivable
- Council tax
- Business rates

To the fullest extent permissible by auditing standards, we will seek to place reliance on the work of Internal Audit to test controls in its annual programme of work.

We have also identified the following key processes that we will test substantively post yearend:

- Cash and Bank
- Housing Benefit
- Payroll
- Property, Plant and Equipment
- Treasury management
- Financial Statements Close Process.

Analytics

We will use our computer-based analytics tools [tailor as appropriate] to enable us to capture whole populations of your financial data, in particular journal entries and payroll. These tools:

- help identify specific exceptions and anomalies which can then be subject to more traditional substantive audit tests
- give greater likelihood of identifying errors than random sampling techniques.

We will report the findings from our process and analytics work, including any significant weaknesses or inefficiencies identified and recommendations for improvement, to management and the Audit Committee.

Internal audit

As in prior years, we will review internal audit plans and the results of their work. We will reflect the findings from these reports, together with reports from any other work completed in the year, in our detailed audit plan, where we raise issues that could have an impact on the year-end financial statements

Use of experts

We will use specialist EY resource as necessary to help us to form a view on judgments made in the financial statements. Our plan currently includes involving specialists in pensions and valuations for both property and business rate appeals provisions.

Mandatory procedures required by auditing standards

As well as the financial statement risks outlined in section three, we must perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence standards, the Code and other regulations. We outline below the procedures we will undertake during the course of our audit.

Procedures required by standards

- addressing the risk of fraud and error;
- reviewing significant disclosures included in the financial statements;
- assessing the effectiveness of entity-wide controls;
- reading other information contained in the financial statements and reporting whether it is inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements; and
- maintaining auditor independence.

Procedures required by the Code

- reviewing, and reporting on as appropriate, other information published with the financial statements, including the Annual Governance Statement and the Remuneration Report;
- ► reviewing and reporting on the Whole of Government Accounts return, in line with the instructions issued by the NAO; and
- ► reviewing and examining, where appropriate, evidence relevant to the Council's corporate performance management and financial management arrangements, and its reporting on these arrangements.

5.3 Materiality

For the purposes of determining whether the financial statements are free from material error, we define materiality as the magnitude of an omission or misstatement that, individually or in aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the users of the financial statements. Our evaluation requires professional judgement and so takes into account qualitative as well as quantitative considerations implied in the definition. We have initially determined that overall materiality for the financial statements of the Council is £1.279 million based on based on 2% of 2013/14 gross service expenditure.

We will communicate uncorrected audit misstatements greater than £63,993 to you.

The amount we consider material at the end of the audit may differ from our initial determination. At this stage, however, it is not feasible to anticipate all the circumstances that might ultimately influence our judgement. At the end of the audit we will form our final opinion by reference to all matters that could be significant to users of the financial statements, including the total effect of any audit misstatements, and our evaluation of materiality at that date.

5.4 Fees

The Audit Commission has published a scale fee for all authorities. This is defined as the fee required by auditors to meet statutory responsibilities under the Audit Commission Act in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice 2010. The indicative fee scale for the audit of Mid Sussex District Council is £67,389.

5.5 Your audit team

The engagement team is led by Paul King, who has significant experience of the Council. Paul King is supported by Emma Bryant and Hannah Lill who are responsible for the day-to-day direction of audit work, and who are the key points of contact for the Head of Finance and ICT.

5.6 Timetable of communication, deliverables and insights

We have set out below a timetable showing the key stages of the audit, including the VFM work and the Whole of Government Accounts. The timetable includes the deliverables we have agreed to provide to the Council through the Audit Committee's cycle in 2014/15. These dates are determined to ensure our alignment with the Audit Commission's rolling calendar of deadlines.

We provide progress reports to each meeting of the Audit Committee and will provide a formal report detailing the results of our 2014/15 audit to the September meeting of the Committee. From time to time matters may arise that require immediate communication with the Audit Committee and we will discuss them with the Committee Chair as appropriate.

Following the conclusion of our audit we will prepare an Annual Audit Letter to communicate the key issues arising from our work to the Council and external stakeholders, including members of the public.

Audit phase	Timetable	Audit Committee timetable	Deliverables	
High level planning	December - February	April 2014 November 2014	Audit Fee letter Progress Report	
Risk assessment and setting of scopes	January – March	17 March 2015	Audit Plan	
Testing routine processes and controls	February – March	30 June 2015	Progress Report	
Year-end audit	August – September			
Completion of audit	September	29 September 2015	 Report to those charged with governance via the Audit Results Report 	
			Audit report (including our opinion on the financial statements; [our opinion on the regularity of your expenditure and income]; and overall value for money conclusion).	
			Audit completion certificate	
			Reporting to the NAO on the Whole of Government Accounts return.	
Conclusion of reporting	October	24 November 2015	Annual Audit Letter	

In addition to the above formal reporting and deliverables we will seek to provide practical business insights and updates on regulatory matters.

ANNEX 1

6. Independence

6.1 Introduction

The APB Ethical Standards and ISA (UK and Ireland) 260 "Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance", requires us to communicate with you on a timely basis on all significant facts and matters that bear on our independence and objectivity. The Ethical Standards, as revised in December 2010, require that we do this formally both at the planning stage and at the conclusion of the audit, as well as during the audit if appropriate. The aim of these communications is to ensure full and fair disclosure by us to those charged with your governance on matters in which you have an interest.

Required communications					
Planning stag	e	Final stage			
and indincluding relations and direct	hips between you, your affiliates ctors and us; feguards adopted and the why they are considered to be including any Engagement	•	A written disclosure of relationships (including the provision of non-audit services) that bear on our objectivity and independence, the threats to our independence that these create, any safeguards that we have put in place and why they address such threats, together with any other information necessary to enable our objectivity and independence to be assessed;		
► The ove safeguar	rall assessment of threats and ds;	•	Details of non-audit services provided and the fees charged in relation thereto;		
and pro	on about the general policies ocess within EY to maintain by and independence.	•	Written confirmation that we are independent;		
OSJOSTIVI	y and maopernation.	•	Details of any inconsistencies between APB Ethical Standards, the Audit Commission's Standing Guidance and your policy for the supply of non-audit services by EY and any apparent breach of that policy; and		
		•	An opportunity to discuss auditor independence issues.		

During the course of the audit we must also communicate with you whenever any significant judgements are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of our safeguards, for example when accepting an engagement to provide non-audit services.

We also provide information on any contingent fee arrangements, the amounts of any future contracted services, and details of any written proposal to provide non-audit services;

We ensure that the total amount of fees that EY and our network firms have charged to you and your affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period are disclosed, analysed in appropriate categories.

ANNEX 1

6.2 Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

We highlight the following significant facts and matters that may be reasonably considered to bear upon our objectivity and independence, including any principal threats. However we have adopted the safeguards below to mitigate these threats along with the reasons why they are considered to be effective.

Self-interest threats

A self-interest threat arises when EY has financial or other interests in your entity. Examples include where we have an investment in your entity; where we receive significant fees in respect of non-audit services; where we need to recover long outstanding fees; or where we enter into a business relationship with the Council.

At the time of writing, there are no long outstanding fees.

We believe that it is appropriate for us to undertake permissible non-audit services, and we will comply with the policies that the Council has approved and that are in compliance with the Audit Commission's Standing Guidance.

At the time of writing, there are no non-audit fees.

A self-interest threat may also arise if members of our audit engagement team have objectives or are rewarded in relation to sales of non-audit services to the Council. We confirm that no member of our audit engagement team, including those from other service lines, is in this position, in compliance with Ethical Standard 4.

There are no self-interest threats at the date of this report.

Self-review threats

Self-review threats arise when the results of a non-audit service performed by EY or others within the EY network are reflected in the amounts included or disclosed in the financial statements.

There are no self-review threats at the date of this report

Management threats

Partners and employees of EY are prohibited from taking decisions on behalf of management of your entity. Management threats may also arise during the provision of a non-audit service where management is required to make judgements or decisions based on that work.

There are no management threats at the date of this report

Other threats

Other threats, such as advocacy, familiarity or intimidation, may arise.

There are no other threats at the date of this report

Overall Assessment

Overall we consider that the adopted safeguards appropriately mitigate the principal threats identified, and we therefore confirm that EY is independent and the objectivity and independence of Paul King, the audit engagement Director and the audit engagement team have not been compromised.

ANNEX 1
Independence

6.3 Other required communications

EY has policies and procedures that instil professional values as part of firm culture and ensure that the highest standards of objectivity, independence and integrity are maintained.

Details of the key policies and processes within EY for maintaining objectivity and independence can be found in our annual Transparency Report, which the firm is required to publish by law. The most recent version of this report is for the year ended 27 June 2014 and can be found here:

http://www.ey.com/UK/en/About-us/EY-UK-Transparency-Report-2014

Appendix A Fees

A breakdown of our agreed fee is shown below.

	Planned Fee 2014/15	Out-turn 2013/14	Published fee 2013/14	Explanation
	£	£	£	
Opinion Audit and VFM Conclusion Total Audit Fee – Code	67,389 67,389	67,389 67,389	66,489 66,489	In December 2014, the Audit Commission applied a permanent variation of £900 to the base scale fee for 2014/15. This was for audit procedures required to gain sufficient audit assurance around business rate income and expenditure within the Collection Fund. We were also required to undertake additional audit procedures to be able to gain assurance for the 2013/14 financial statements opinion and agreed a scale fee variation of £900 to that audit fee with you.
work	07,303	07,303	00,403	
Certification of claims and returns ¹	16,710	23,810 ²	8,888	Due to errors identified in the Housing Benefit Subsidy Claim for 2013/14 additional work was required to enable us to certify the claim. We agreed a scale fee variation of £14,922 in relation to the Housing Subsidy Benefit claim. For further details, please see the Annual Certification Report for 2013/14.
Non-audit work	0	0	0	

All fees exclude VAT.

The agreed fee presented above is based on the following assumptions:

- officers meeting the agreed timetable of deliverables;
- ▶ the operating effectiveness of the internal controls for the key processes outlined in section 5.2 above;
- ▶ we are able to place reliance, as planned, on the work of internal audit;
- ▶ the level of risk in relation to the audit of accounts in consistent with that in the prior year;

¹ Our fee for the certification of grant claims is based on the indicative scale fee set by the Audit Commission.
² The fee shown is the fee to certify and report the Housing Benefit Subsidy Claim. We have since received a letter from the Department for Work and Pensions requesting further work. There will be an additional fee for this work once it has been completed.

the Audit Commission making no significant changes to the use of resources criteria on

- ▶ our accounts opinion and use of resources conclusion being unqualified;
- ▶ appropriate quality of documentation is provided by the Council; and
- ▶ the Council has an effective control environment.

which our conclusion will be based;

Fees

If any of the above assumptions prove to be unfounded, we will seek a variation to the agreed fee. This will be discussed with the Council in advance.

Fees for the auditor's consideration of correspondence from the public and formal objections will be charged in addition to the scale fee.

Appendix B UK required communications with those charged with governance

There are certain communications that we must provide to the Audit Committee. These are detailed here:

Required communication Reference Audit Plan Planning and audit approach Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit including any limitations. Significant findings from the audit Report to those charged with our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting governance practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management written representations that we are seeking expected modifications to the audit report other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process **Misstatements** Report to those charged with uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion governance the effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods a request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected in writing, corrected misstatements that are significant Fraud Report to those charged with enquiries of the Audit Committee to determine whether they have governance knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist a discussion of any other matters related to fraud Related parties Report to those charged with Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the governance entity's related parties including, when applicable: non-disclosure by management inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions disagreement over disclosures non-compliance with laws and regulations difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity **External confirmations** Report to those charged with management's refusal for us to request confirmations governance inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures Consideration of laws and regulations Report to those

audit findings regarding non-compliance where the non-

charged with

compliance is material and believed to be intentional. This communication is subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off enquiry of the Audit Committee into possible instances of noncompliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the Audit Committee may be aware of Independence Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's objectivity and independence Communication of key elements of the audit engagement director's consideration of independence and objectivity such as: • the principal threats • safeguards adopted and their effectiveness • an overall assessment of threats and safeguards • information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence Going concern Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including: • whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty • whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements the adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit • breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan • breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit • Report to those charged with governance • Audit Plan • Report to those charged with governance • Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary Certification work • Summary of certification work undertaken On the event and the event of the initial audit plan • Summary of certification work undertaken	Required communication	Reference	
Independence Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's objectivity and independence Communication of key elements of the audit engagement director's consideration of independence and objectivity such as: I the principal threats Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence Going concern Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including: I whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit Fee Information I breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan I breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit Certification work Summary of certification work undertaken Annual Report to those charged with governance **Annual Report to those charged with governance**	 communication is subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off enquiry of the Audit Committee into possible instances of non- 		
Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's objectivity and independence Communication of key elements of the audit engagement director's consideration of independence and objectivity such as: I the principal threats I safeguards adopted and their effectiveness I an overall assessment of threats and safeguards I information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence Going concern Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including: I whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements I the adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements I the adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements I he adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements I he preparation and presentation of the financial statements I he adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements I he adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements I he adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements I he adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements I he adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements I have been dependence I have b			
objectivity and independence Communication of key elements of the audit engagement director's consideration of independence and objectivity such as: • the principal threats • safeguards adopted and their effectiveness • an overall assessment of threats and safeguards • information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence Going concern Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including: • whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty • whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements • the adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements • Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit • breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan • breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit • Certification work • Summary of certification work undertaken charged with governance charged with governance Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary Certification, and Annual Audit Letter if	Independence	Audit Plan	
consideration of independence and objectivity such as: • the principal threats • safeguards adopted and their effectiveness • an overall assessment of threats and safeguards • information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence Going concern Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including: • whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty • whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements • the adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit Fee Information • breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan • breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit Certification work • Summary of certification work undertaken Certification, and Annual Audit Letter if		charged with	
 safeguards adopted and their effectiveness an overall assessment of threats and safeguards information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence Going concern Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including: whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements the adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit Report to those charged with governance Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary Certification work Summary of certification work undertaken Annual Report to those charged with governance summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if		governance	
 an overall assessment of threats and safeguards information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence Going concern Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including: whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements the adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit Report to those charged with governance Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary Certification work Summary of certification work undertaken Annual Report to those charged with governance summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if 	·		
The maintain objectivity and independence Going concern Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including: ▶ whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty ▶ whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements ▶ the adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit ▶ breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan ▶ breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit ▶ the adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements Fee Information ▶ Audit Plan ▶ Report to those charged with governance ▶ Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary Certification work ▶ Summary of certification work undertaken Annual Report to those charged with governance summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if			
Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including: whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements the adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit Certification work Summary of certification work undertaken charged with governance Annual Report to those charged with governance Annual Report to those charged with governance charged with governance Annual Report to those charged with governance summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if			
entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including: whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements the adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit certification work Summary of certification work undertaken charged with governance Annual Report to those charged with governance summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if	Going concern		
 ▶ whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements ▶ the adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit ▶ Report to those charged with governance Fee Information ▶ breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan ▶ Breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit ▶ Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary Certification work ▶ Summary of certification work undertaken Annual Report to those charged with governance summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if 			
audit charged with governance Fee Information ► breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan ► breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit ► breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit ► Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary Certification work ► Summary of certification work undertaken Charged with governance charged with governance summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if	whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements		
 ▶ breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan ▶ breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit ▶ Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary Certification work ▶ Summary of certification work undertaken ▶ Summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if 		charged with	
plan ▶ breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit ■ Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary Certification work ■ Summary of certification work undertaken ■ Summary of certification work undertaken ■ Charged with governance charged with governance summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if	Fee Information	▶ Audit Plan	
Pannual Audit Letter if considered necessary Certification work Annual Report to those ► Summary of certification work undertaken Charged with governance summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if	_	charged with	
Certification work ► Summary of certification work undertaken Charged with governance summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if	 breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit 	•	
Summary of certification work undertaken charged with governance summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if			
governance summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if	Certification work	Annual Report to those	
summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if	 Summary of certification work undertaken 	<u> </u>	
Annual Audit Letter if		summarising grant	
		•	

ANNEX 1

EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

Ernst & Young LLP

© Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK. All rights reserved.

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

ey.com

Mid Sussex District Council

Audit Progress Report

March 2015





Ernst & Young LLP Tel: +44 118 928 1100 Apex Plaza, Forbury Road, Reading RG1 1YE

Fax: +44 118 928 1101 ey.com



Audit Committee Mid Sussex District Council Oaklands Road Haywards Heath West Sussex **RH16 1SS**

17 March 2015

Audit Progress Report – March 2015

We are pleased to attach our Audit Progress Report

It sets out the work we have completed since our last report to the Audit Committee. The purpose of this report is to provide the Committee with an overview of our plans and progress to date for our 2014/15 audit, and to ensure that our audit is aligned with the Committee's service expectations.

Our audit is undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the Audit Commission Act 1998, the Code of Audit Practice, the Audit Commission Standing Guidance, auditing standards and other professional requirements.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss this report with you as well as understand whether there are other matters which you consider may influence our audit.

Yours faithfully

Paul King Director For and behalf of Ernst & Young LLP

Contents

2014/15 audit	2
Appendix 1: Timetable 2014/15	4

In March 2010 the Audit Commission issued a revised version of the 'Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies' (Statement of responsibilities). It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the Audit Commission's website.

The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the Audit Commission's appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The Standing Guidance serves as our terms of appointment as auditors appointed by the Audit Commission. The Standing Guidance sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the Code of Audit Practice 2010 (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This report is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Members of the audited body, and is prepared for their sole use. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure – If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.

2014/15 audit

Financial Statements

We adopt a risk based approach to the audit and, as part of our ongoing continuous planning, we have held a number of meetings with key officers and other stakeholders to ensure the 2014/15 audit runs as smoothly as possible and identify any risks at the earliest opportunity.

Planning visit

Our work to identify the Council's material income and expenditure systems and to walk through these systems and controls has been in progress during February and will be completed in March, when we next visit the Council. The detailed testing of the controls (where planned) will also be completed during these visits.

There are no matters arising from our planning work that we need to bring to your attention at this stage.

We will review Internal Audit's testing of financial systems and, where it is appropriate to do so, we will undertake procedures to enable us to place reliance upon this testing.

Post statements visit

We have agreed dates for our audit visit, and will have discussions on the working papers required. We are planning to carry out our post-statements work in August through to September.

Our detailed audit plan, setting out the risks we have identified and the work we will undertake in response, is on the agenda to be presented at this Committee.

We will continue to use our computer-based analytics tools to enable us to capture whole populations of your financial data, in particular payroll and journal entries.

We will also review and report to the National Audit Office, to the extent and in the form required by them, on your whole of government accounts return.

Value for money

The Audit Commission detailed guidance for the 2014/15 value for money conclusion which can be found at:

http://www.audit-commission.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/08102014-VFM-guidance-2014-15.pdf.

The arrangements are the same as 2013/14 and focus on corporate performance management and financial management arrangements, with regard to the following criteria and areas of focus, as specified by the Audit Commission:

- ▶ arrangements for securing financial resilience whether the Council has robust systems and processes to manage financial risks and opportunities effectively, and to secure a stable financial position that enables it to continue to operate for the foreseeable future; and
- ▶ arrangements for challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness whether the Council is prioritising its resources within tighter budgets, for example by achieving cost reductions and by improving efficiency and productivity.

Our work supporting our initial value for money risk assessment, informed by the criteria and our responsibilities under the Code, is ongoing, and more detail is included in our Audit Plan which is on the agenda for this Committee.

2015/16 Audit Fees

The Audit Commission has consulted on the proposed work programme and scales of fees for the audit of the accounts for 2015/16. It is proposing to reduce scale fees by a further 25 per cent from 2015/16 for all principal audits including the Council. It does not plan to make changes to the overall work programme. The final work programme and scales of fees will be published during March 2015. Further information can be found at:

http://www.audit-commission.gov.uk/audit-regime/audit-fees/201516propwpsf/

The 25 per cent fee reduction has been achieved as a result of a recent procurement exercise to retender the work undertaken under the Commission's older contracts with audit firms, and is on top of the 40 per cent cut in fees made in 2012.

2013/14 Grant Certification work

We have issued our Certification of claims and returns annual report 2013/14, which summarises the results of our work on the Council's claims and returns for 2013/14. This report is on the agenda to be presented at this Committee.

The DWP has written to the Council in response to our qualification letter on the Council's Housing Benefit Claim 2013/14 requesting further work in a number of areas. The CenSus Benefits Team have completed the work required. We are in the process of reviewing this work and plan to report to the DWP by the end of March.

Appendix 1: Timetable 2014/15

We set out below a timetable showing the key stages of the audit, including the value for money work, and the deliverables we will provide to you through the 2014/15 Audit Committee cycle. We will provide formal reports to the Audit Committee as outlined below.

Audit phase	EY Timetable	Deliverable	Reported	Status
High level planning	Ongoing	Audit Fee Letter 2014/15	April 2014	Completed
Risk assessment and setting of scope of audit	Feb 2015	Audit Plan	March 2015	Completed
Testing of routine processes and controls	Feb - March 2015	Audit Plan	March 2015	In progress
Year-end audit	August - September 2015	Audit results report to those charged with governance Audit report (including our opinion on the financial statements and a conclusion as to	September 2015	Not yet started
		whether the Council has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources)		
		Whole of Government Accounts Submission to NAO based on their group audit instructions		
		Audit Completion certificate		
Annual Reporting	September 2015	Annual Audit Letter	November 2015	Not yet started

EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

Ernst & Young LLP

© Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK.
All rights reserved.

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

ey.com



Ernst & Young LLP Wessex House 19 Threefield Lane Southampton SO14 3QB Tel: + 44 2380 382 100 Fax: + 44 2380 382 001 ey.com

Councillor Forbes Chair of the Audit Committee Mid Sussex District Council Oaklands Road Haywards Heath West Sussex RH16 1SS

23 February 2015

Ref: 14-15/assurancelet/towg Direct line: 0118 928 1556 Email: PKing1@uk.ey.com

Dear Councillor Forbes

Understanding how the Audit Committee gains assurance from management

Auditing standards require us to formally update our understanding of your management processes and arrangements annually. Therefore, I am writing to ask that you please provide a response to the following questions.

- How does the Audit Committee, as 'those charged with governance' at the Authority, exercise oversight of management's processes in relation to:
 - undertaking an assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated due to fraud or error (including the nature, extent and frequency of these assessments):
 - identifying and responding to risks of fraud in the Authority, including any specific risks of fraud which management have identified or that have been brought to its attention, or classes of transactions, account balances, or disclosure for which a risk of fraud is likely to exist;
 - communicating to employees its view on business practice and ethical behaviour (for example by updating, communicating and monitoring against the Authority's codes of conduct);
 - encouraging employees to report their concerns about fraud; and
 - communicating to you the processes for identifying and responding to fraud or error?
- 2. How does the Audit Committee oversee management processes for identifying and responding to the risk of fraud and possible breaches of internal control?
- Is the Audit Committee aware of any:
 - breaches of, or deficiencies in, internal control; and
 - actual, suspected or alleged frauds during 2014/15?
- 4. Is the Audit Committee aware of any organisational or management pressure to meet financial or operating targets?



- 5. How does the Audit Committee gain assurance that all relevant laws and regulations have been complied with? Are you aware of any instances of non-compliance during 2014/15?
- 6. Is the Audit Committee aware of any actual or potential litigation or claims that would affect the financial statements?
- 7. How does the Audit Committee satisfy itself that it is appropriate to adopt the going concern basis in preparing the financial statements?

If possible, please could we have your response in advance of the Audit Committee meeting on 30 June

Please contact me if you wish to discuss anything in relation to this request.

Yours sincerely

Paul King Ernst & Young LLP United Kingdom